

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/812,493	03/19/2001	Charles M. Patton	10007231-1	1788
7590 10/20/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			WEISBERGER, RICHARD C	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			3624	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Commence	09/812,493	PATTON, CHARLES M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard C Weisberger	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE one MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	·				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 09/812,493 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions<sup>1</sup> is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, 32-39 drawn to a method of filtering email, classified in class
   705, subclass various.
- II. Claims 17-21, drawn to a method of filtering electronic mail, classified in class 705, subclass various
- III. Claims 22-25, 31 and 40 drawn to a method and apparatus for a clearinghouse to process an electronic mail check, classified in class 705, subclass various.
- IV. Claims 26-30, drawn to a method for an electronic mail client to filter received electronic mail from a sender, classified in class 705 subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because for example of following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> While an attempt was made to consolidate the prosecution of the multiple inventions of this case, the examination, in view of the additional search required by applicant's seasonal challenge to the officie notice, requires additional search. The additional search is separate and distinct for each of the four groups cited above

Application/Control Number: 09/812,493

Art Unit: 3624

3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that

Page 3

they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In

the instant case the different inventions have different effects.

4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown

that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In

the instant case the different inventions have different effects.

5. A telephone call was made to James Shaurette on Oct 15 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being

made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Respectfully

Richard Weisberger

Application/Control Number: 09/812,493

Art Unit: 3624

571 272 6753